

Atomic Sequential Effect Algebras

Josef Tkadlec

Received: 30 November 2006 / Accepted: 12 July 2007 / Published online: 7 August 2007
© Springer Science+Business Media, LLC 2007

Abstract Various conditions ensuring that a sequential effect algebra or the set of sharp elements of a sequential effect algebra is a Boolean algebra are presented.

Keywords Effect algebra · Boolean algebra · Sequential product · Atomic · Sharp

The basic algebraic object for studying quantum structures is the effect algebra—see, e.g., Foulis and Bennet [2]. Gudder (see, e.g., [5]) introduced the notion of a sequential product on an effect algebra as an abstract formalization of a sequential measurement. Sequential effect algebras have the property that sharp elements remain sharp whenever we use an embedding to a greater structure, hence the notion of sharpness is not contextual within sequential effect algebras. In this paper we present several results stating that a sequential effect algebra (or the set of sharp elements of a sequential effect algebra) is a Boolean algebra. Some of them are generalizations of analogous results of Gudder and Greechie [5].

1 Basic Notions

Definition 1.1 An *effect algebra* is an algebraic structure $(E, \oplus, 0, 1)$ such that E is a set, 0 and 1 are different elements of E and \oplus is a partial binary operation on E such that for every $a, b, c \in E$ the following conditions hold (the equalities mean also “if one side exists then the other side exists”):

- (1) $a \oplus b = b \oplus a$ (*commutativity*),
- (2) $(a \oplus b) \oplus c = a \oplus (b \oplus c)$ (*associativity*),
- (3) for every $a \in E$ there is a unique $a' \in E$ such that $a \oplus a' = 1$ (*orthosupplement*),
- (4) $a = 0$ whenever $a \oplus 1$ is defined (*zero-unit law*).

J. Tkadlec (✉)

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Electrical Engineering, Czech Technical University,
166 27 Prague, Czech Republic
e-mail: tkadlec@fel.cvut.cz

For simplicity, we use the notation E for an effect algebra. A partial ordering on an effect algebra E is defined by $a \leq b$ iff there is a $c \in E$ such that $b = a \oplus c$; such an element c is unique (if it exists) and is denoted by $b \ominus a$. Also, 0 (1 , resp.) is the least (the greatest, resp.) element of E with respect to this partial ordering. An *orthogonality* relation on E is defined by $a \perp b$ iff $a \oplus b$ exists (i.e., iff $a \leq b'$). It can be shown that $a \oplus 0 = a$ for every $a \in E$ and that the *cancellation law* is valid: for every $a, b, c \in E$ with $a \oplus b \leq a \oplus c$ we have $b \leq c$.

For $a \leq b$ we denote $[a, b] = \{c \in E: a \leq c \leq b\}$. A *chain* in E is a nonempty linearly (totally) ordered subset of E .

Definition 1.2 Let E be an effect algebra. An element $a \in E$ is called

- *sharp*, if $a \wedge a' = 0$;
- *principal*, if $b \oplus c \leq a$ for every $b, c \in E$ with $b \perp c$ and $b, c \leq a$;
- *central*, if a and a' are principal and for every $b \in E$ there are $b_1, b_2 \in E$ such that $b_1 \leq a$, $b_2 \leq a'$ and $b = b_1 \oplus b_2$. The set of central elements of E is called the *center* of E .

By definition, every central element is principal, and it is well-known and easy to see that every principal element is sharp. The reverse implications need not be true.

Definition 1.3 An *orthoalgebra* is an effect algebra in which every its element is sharp.

An *orthomodular poset* is an effect algebra in which every its element is principal.

Since every principal element in an effect algebra is sharp, every orthomodular poset is an orthoalgebra.

2 Atomic Effect Algebras

Definition 2.1 Let E be an effect algebra.

- An *atom* in E is a minimal element of $E \setminus \{0\}$.
- The effect algebra E is *atomic* if every nonzero element of E dominates an atom.
- The effect algebra E is *atomistic* if every nonzero element of E is a supremum of a set of atoms (hence dominated by this element).
- The effect algebra E is *determined by atoms* if for different elements $a, b \in E$ the sets of atoms in $[0, a]$ and $[0, b]$ are different.

Let us remark that an effect algebra is atomistic iff the atoms are order determining in the sense that, if every atom in $[0, a]$ belongs to $[0, b]$, then $a \leq b$.

Lemma 2.2 Every atomistic effect algebra is determined by atoms. Every effect algebra determined by atoms is atomic.

Proof Let E be an atomistic effect algebra. Since every nonzero element of E is the supremum of atoms it dominates, for different elements we obtain different sets of dominated atoms.

Let E be an effect algebra determined by atoms. Then for every nonzero element $a \in E$ the set of dominated atoms is nonempty. \square

Greechie [3] presented examples of atomic orthomodular posets not determined by atoms. Let us present an example of a nonatomic orthomodular poset determined by atoms.

Example 2.3 Let $X_1 = \{x_1\}$, $X_2 = \{x_2\}$, X_3 and X_4 be mutually disjoint sets, and let X_3, X_4 be infinite. Let us put $X = \bigcup_{i=1}^4 X_i$,

$$E' = \{\emptyset, X_1 \cup X_2, X_2 \cup X_3, X_3 \cup X_4, X_4 \cup X_1, X\},$$

$$E = \{(A \setminus F) \cup (F \setminus A) : A \in E' \text{ and } F \subset X_3 \cup X_4 \text{ is finite}\}.$$

Then $(E, \oplus, \emptyset, X)$ with $A \oplus B = A \cup B$ for disjoint $A, B \in E$ is an orthomodular poset. The orthosupplement is the set theoretic complement in X , the partial ordering is the inclusion. The atoms in E are $\{x_1, x_2\}$ and one-element subsets of $X_3 \cup X_4$.

E is not atomistic because the set of atoms dominated by the element $X_1 \cup X_4$ is the set of one-element subsets of X_4 that has $X_3 \cup X_4$ as an upper bound and $X_3 \cup X_4 \not\leq X_1 \cup X_4$.

Let us prove that E is determined by atoms. Let $A, B \in E$ such that the sets of atoms dominated by A and B coincide. Since $\{x\}$ is an atom for every $x \in X_3 \cup X_4$, we obtain $A \cap (X_3 \cup X_4) = B \cap (X_3 \cup X_4)$. Let us suppose that $A \neq B$ and seek a contradiction. Then, e.g., $A \not\subseteq B$ and there is an $x \in X_1 \cup X_2$ such that $x \in A \setminus B$. Let, e.g., $x = x_1$. Since $\{x_1, x_2\}$ is an atom not dominated by B , it is not dominated by A and therefore $x_2 \notin A$. Hence $B \cap X_4 = A \cap X_4$ is cofinite and $B \cap X_3 = A \cap X_3$ is finite. Therefore $x_1 \in B$ —a contradiction.

It is known that every atomic orthomodular lattice is atomistic—see, e.g., Pták and Pulmannová [6]. Let us present an analogous result for effect algebras (lattice orthoalgebras are orthomodular lattices).

Proposition 2.4 *Every lattice effect algebra determined by atoms is atomistic.*

Proof Let E be a lattice effect algebra determined by atoms, $a \in E \setminus \{0\}$ and A be the set of atoms in $[0, a]$. For every $b \in E$ that dominates all elements of A we obtain that A is the set of atoms in $[0, a \wedge b]$ and therefore, since E is determined by atoms, $a = a \wedge b \leq b$. Hence $a = \bigvee A$. \square

Let us remark that for example the 3-chain $C_3 = \{0, a, 1\}$ with $a \oplus a = 1$ and $x \oplus 0 = x$ for every $x \in C_3$ is an atomic lattice effect algebra that is not determined by atoms—different elements $a, 1$ dominate the same set $\{a\}$ of atoms.

Proposition 2.5 *Every effect algebra in which every its nonzero element dominates a nonzero sharp element is an orthoalgebra.*

Proof Let us suppose that the effect algebra E is not an orthoalgebra and seek a contradiction. There is an unsharp element $a \in E$. Hence there is a nonzero element $b \in E$ such that $b \leq a, a'$. According to the assumption, there is a nonzero sharp element $c \in E$ such that $c \leq b$. Then $c \leq a, a'$ and therefore $a \leq c'$. Hence $c \leq a \leq c'$ and therefore $c \wedge c' = c \neq 0$ —a contradiction. \square

Corollary 2.6 *Every atomic effect algebra in which every its atom is sharp is an orthoalgebra.*

3 Sequential Effect Algebras

Definition 3.1 A *sequential product* on an effect algebra E is a binary operation \circ on E such that for every $a, b, c \in E$ the following conditions hold:

- (1) $a \circ (b \oplus c) = (a \circ b) \oplus (a \circ c)$ if $b \oplus c$ exists;
- (2) $1 \circ a = a$;
- (3) if $a \circ b = 0$ then $a \mid b$ (where $a \mid b$ denotes $a \circ b = b \circ a$);
- (4) if $a \mid b$ then $a \mid b'$ and $a \circ (b \circ c) = (a \circ b) \circ c$;
- (5) if $c \mid a, b$ then $c \mid a \circ b$ and $c \mid a \oplus b$ (if $a \oplus b$ exists).

An effect algebra with a sequential product is called a *sequential effect algebra*.

For examples of sequential effect algebras see Gudder and Greechie [5]—e.g., every Boolean algebra with $a \circ b = a \wedge b$ forms a sequential effect algebra, the set of positive self-adjoint operators on a Hilbert space bounded by the identity with $A \circ B = A^{1/2}BA^{1/2}$ forms a sequential algebra and there is an atomic sequential effect algebra that is not a Boolean algebra (Sect. 7).

Let us present some results concerning sequential effect algebras.

Proposition 3.2 Let E be a sequential effect algebra. Then for every $a, b \in E$ the following properties hold:

- (1) $a \circ 0 = 0 \circ a = 0$;
- (2) $a \circ 1 = 1 \circ a = a$;
- (3) $a \circ b \leq a$;
- (4) if a is sharp then $a \leq b$ iff $a \circ b = b \circ a = a$.

Proof See Gudder and Greechie [5], Lemma 3.1 and Theorem 3.4. □

Proposition 3.3 Let E be a sequential effect algebra, $a \in E$ be an atom. Then $a \mid b$ for every $b \in E$.

Proof Let $b \in E$. Since $a \circ b \leq a$ and a is an atom, we obtain that $a \circ b \in \{0, a\}$. If $a \circ b = 0$ then $a \mid b$ from the definition of a sequential product. Let us suppose that $a \circ b = a$. We obtain that $a \circ b = a = a \circ 1 = a \circ (b \oplus b') = (a \circ b) \oplus (a \circ b')$ and therefore $a \circ b' = 0$. Hence $a \mid b'$ and therefore $a \mid b$. □

Lemma 3.4 Let E be a sequential effect algebra, $a, b, c \in E$ such that a is sharp, $a \mid c$ and $a \leq b \circ c$. Then $a \leq b, c$. If, moreover, $a \mid (c \circ b)$ then $a \leq c \circ b$.

Proof According to Proposition 3.2, $a \leq b \circ c \leq b$ and, since a is sharp, $a \mid b$. Since $a \leq b, b \circ c$, a is sharp and $a \mid b, c$, we obtain, according to Proposition 3.2 and the definition of a sequential product, that $a = a \circ (b \circ c) = (a \circ b) \circ c = a \circ c = c \circ a \leq c$. Hence, if moreover $a \mid (c \circ b)$, we obtain that $a = a \circ b = (a \circ c) \circ b = a \circ (c \circ b) = (c \circ b) \circ a \leq c \circ b$. □

Proposition 3.5 Let E be a sequential effect algebra, $a \in E$ be a sharp atom. Then $a \leq b \circ c$ iff $a \leq c \circ b$ for every $b, c \in E$.

Proof Let $b, c \in E$ such that $a \leq b \circ c$. According to Proposition 3.3, $a \mid c$ and $a \mid (c \circ b)$. According to Lemma 3.4, $a \leq c \circ b$. The reverse implication can be proved analogously. \square

Let us summarize some properties of sequential effect algebras that we will use in the sequel.

Proposition 3.6 *Let E be a sequential effect algebra.*

- (1) *The set of sharp elements of E is a sub-effect algebra and forms an orthomodular poset.*
- (2) *Let $a, b \in E$ be sharp. If $a \wedge b$ (resp., $a \vee b$) exists in E then $a \wedge b$ is sharp (resp., $a \vee b$ is sharp).*
- (3) *If E is chain finite then every atom of E is sharp.*
- (4) *If $a \in E$ is an atom then $a \leq b$ or $a \leq b'$ for every $b \in E$.*

Proof See Gudder and Greechie [5], Corollary 3.5, Corollary 4.3, proof of Theorem 5.5 and Lemma 5.2. \square

4 Weak Distributivity, Maximality Property

We will present two properties and show that an orthomodular poset with these two properties is a Boolean algebra (see [7]).

Definition 4.1 An effect algebra E is *weakly distributive* if, for every $a, b \in E$, $a = 0$ whenever $a \wedge b = a \wedge b' = 0$.

Obviously, every Boolean algebra is weakly distributive. Let us present an example of a weakly distributive orthomodular poset that is not a Boolean algebra.

Example 4.2 Let X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4 be mutually disjoint infinite sets. Let us put $X = \bigcup_{i=1}^4 X_i$,

$$\begin{aligned} E' &= \{\emptyset, X_1 \cup X_2, X_2 \cup X_3, X_3 \cup X_4, X_4 \cup X_1, X\}, \\ E &= \{(A \setminus F) \cup (F \setminus A) : A \in E' \text{ and } F \subset X \text{ is finite}\}. \end{aligned}$$

Then $(E, \oplus, \emptyset, X)$ with $A \oplus B = A \cup B$ for disjoint $A, B \in E$ is an orthomodular poset. The orthosupplement is the set theoretic complement in X , the partial ordering is the inclusion.

E is not a lattice because $X_1 \wedge X_2$ does not exist (the set of lower bounds—the set of finite subsets of $X_1 \cup X_2$ —does not have a greatest element).

Let us prove that E is weakly distributive. Let $A, B \in E$ such that $A \wedge B = A \wedge B' = \emptyset$. Since $\{x\} \in E$ for every $x \in X$, we obtain that $A \cap B = A \cap B' = \emptyset$ and therefore $A \cap X = A \cap (B \cup B') = (A \cap B) \cup (A \cap B') = \emptyset$. Hence $A = \emptyset$.

In the sequel we will use the following statement (see also [8], Proposition 2.2).

Proposition 4.3 *Let E be an atomic effect algebra such that $a \leq b$ or $a \leq b'$ for every atom $a \in E$ and for every element $b \in E$. Then E is weakly distributive.*

Proof Let us suppose that E is not weakly distributive and seek a contradiction. There are elements $a, b \in E$ such that $a \wedge b = a \wedge b' = 0$ and $a \neq 0$. Since E is atomic, there is an atom $c \in E$ such that $c \leq a$. Since $a \wedge b = a \wedge b' = 0$, we obtain that $c \not\leq b$ and $c \not\leq b'$ —a contradiction. \square

Definition 4.4 An effect algebra E has the *maximality property* if $[0, a] \cap [0, b]$ has a maximal element for every $a, b \in E$.

Let us show some examples of effect algebras with the maximality property.

Proposition 4.5 *An effect algebra E has the maximality property if at least one of the following conditions hold:*

- (1) *E is a lattice.*
- (2) *E is chain finite.*

Proof (1) For every $a, b \in E$, the element $a \wedge b$ is a maximal (even the greatest) element of $[0, a] \cap [0, b]$.

(2) Let $a, b \in E$. According to Zorn's lemma, there is a maximal chain in $[0, a] \cap [0, b]$. According to the assumption, this chain has a maximal element and this element is also a maximal element of $[0, a] \cap [0, b]$. \square

Theorem 4.6 *Every weakly distributive orthomodular poset with the maximality property is a Boolean algebra.*

Proof See Tkadlec [7], Theorem 4.2. \square

It should be noted that the above theorem cannot be generalized to orthoalgebras (see the so-called Fano plane in [1], Sect. 7).

5 Main Results

Let us recall two known results about the center of an effect algebra.

Theorem 5.1 (1) *The center of an effect algebra is a sub-effect algebra and forms a Boolean algebra.*

(2) *The center of a sequential effect algebra is the set of sharp elements that commute (with respect to the sequential product) with all elements.*

Proof (1) See Greechie et al. [4], Theorem 5.4.

(2) See Gudder and Greechie [5], Theorem 4.4. \square

Proposition 5.2 *An atom in a sequential effect algebra is central iff it is sharp.*

Proof It follows from the part (2) of Theorem 5.1 and from Proposition 3.3. \square

Proposition 5.3 *Every atomic sequential effect algebra is weakly distributive.*

Proof It follows from Propositions 3.6 and 4.3. \square

Theorem 5.4 *Every atomic sequential orthoalgebra with the maximality property is a Boolean algebra.*

Proof Let E be an atomic sequential orthoalgebra with the maximality property. According to Proposition 3.6, E is an orthomodular poset. According to Proposition 5.3, E is weakly distributive. Since E has the maximality property, we obtain, according to Theorem 4.6, that E is a Boolean algebra. \square

Corollary 5.5 *Every chain finite sequential effect algebra is a Boolean algebra.*

Proof Let E be a chain finite sequential effect algebra. Then E is atomic. According to Proposition 3.6, every atom is sharp. According to Corollary 2.6, E is an orthoalgebra. According to Proposition 4.5, E has the maximality property. The rest follows from Theorem 5.4. \square

Let us remark that the last corollary was stated in Gudder and Greechie [5], Theorem 5.5(ii), with a different proof.

Theorem 5.6 *Every sequential effect algebra determined by atoms such that every atom is sharp is a Boolean algebra.*

Proof Let E be a sequential effect algebra determined by atoms such that every atom is sharp. Let $b, c \in E$. According to Proposition 3.5, the sets of atoms dominated by $b \circ c$ and $c \circ b$ coincide. Since E is determined by atoms, $b \circ c = c \circ b$. According to Theorem 5.1, the center is the set of sharp elements. According to Corollary 2.6, E is an orthoalgebra, hence every element of E is central. The rest follows from Theorem 5.1. \square

The last theorem generalizes the result of Gudder and Greechie [5] that was stated for atomistic sequential orthoalgebras (see Lemma 2.2 and Example 2.3). According to this theorem, the orthomodular poset from Example 2.3 cannot be organized into a sequential effect algebra (every element in an orthomodular poset is sharp).

Theorem 5.7 *The set of sharp elements of a weakly distributive lattice sequential effect algebra is a Boolean algebra.*

Proof Let E be a weakly distributive lattice sequential effect algebra. According to Proposition 3.6, the set $S(E)$ of sharp elements of E is an orthomodular poset and a sublattice of E . Hence, according to Proposition 4.5, $S(E)$ has the maximality property. Moreover, since E is weakly distributive, $S(E)$ is weakly distributive, too. Hence, according to Theorem 4.6, $S(E)$ is a Boolean algebra. \square

Corollary 5.8 *The set of sharp elements of an atomic lattice sequential effect algebra is a Boolean algebra.*

Proof According to Proposition 5.3, every atomic sequential effect algebra is weakly distributive. The rest follows from Theorem 5.7. \square

Acknowledgement The work was supported by the research plan of the Ministry of Education of the Czech Republic no. 6840770010.

References

1. Foulis, D.J., Bennett, M.K.: Tensor products of orthoalgebras. *Order* **10**, 271–282 (1993)
2. Foulis, D.J., Bennett, M.K.: Effect algebras and unsharp quantum logics. *Found. Phys.* **24**, 1331–1352 (1994)
3. Greechie, R.J.: A particular non-atomistic orthomodular poset. *Commun. Math. Phys.* **14**, 326–328 (1969)
4. Greechie, R.J., Foulis, D., Pulmannová, S.: The center of an effect algebra. *Order* **12**, 91–106 (1995)
5. Gudder, S., Greechie, R.: Sequential products on effect algebras. *Rep. Math. Phys.* **49**, 87–111 (2002)
6. Pták, P., Pulmannová, S.: Orthomodular Structures as Quantum Logics. Kluwer Academic, Dordrecht (1991)
7. Tkadlec, J.: Conditions that force an orthomodular poset to be a Boolean algebra. *Tatra M. Math. Publ.* **10**, 55–62 (1997)
8. Tkadlec, J.: Central elements of atomic effect algebras. *Int. J. Theor. Phys.* **44**, 2257–2263 (2004)